

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Applicant acknowledges, with thanks, the office action dated April 16, 2009, and completion of the personal interview of June 3, 2009. The Examiner's observations and suggestions are much appreciated and summarized herein. Claims 1, 3, 5-9, 11, and 13-16.

Claims 1, 3, 5-9, 11, 13-17, 19, and 21-24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,897,260 to Zingher, in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0182575 to Korfanta, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,498,656 to Mastie et al. (*hereinafter*, "Mastie"). In view of the amendments and arguments set forth below, it is submitted that all pending claims are patentably distinct over the art of record.

As discussed during the Interview, the subject application teaches an embodiment wherein printer job language is used to specify a destination for a job, such as facsimile transmission or e-mail transmission. A typical job queue is a temporary data storage that is associated with a particular output destination, such as a printer. This is the type of queue reflected in the art of record. In the subject application, a job is sent to a queue that is not associated with any particular destination. The queue is provided with sufficient intelligence to read the PJJL, and route the job to the output destination specified thereby.

Amendment has been made commensurate to the discussions of the Interview to clarify that routing to destinations such as e-mail or facsimile, wherein the actual routing is specified by instructions which are understood by the queue. The queue itself is not pre-associated with any particular destination. This amendment has been made to each of independent claims 1, 9 and 17. The Examiner indicated that such clarification appears to distinguish the claims over the art of record.

In accordance with the afore-noted amendments and comments, it is submitted that all claims are patentably distinct over the art, and in condition for allowance thereover. An early allowance of all claims is respectfully requested.

If there are any fees necessitated by the foregoing communication, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0902, referencing our Docket No. 66329/33336.

Date: 7/1/09

Respectfully submitted,



Susan L. Mizer
Registration No. 38,245
TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP
1150 Huntington Bldg.
925 Euclid Ave.
Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1414
Customer No.: 23380
Tel.: (216) 696-3466
Fax: (216) 592-5009